Evaluating the Potential Importance of Monoterpene Degradation for Global Acetone Production





OAcetone is one of the most abundant volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere, but estimates of the global source of acetone vary widely. \mathbf{O} A better understanding of acetone is essential because acetone serves as a source of HO_x in the upper troposphere and as a photochemical precursor to peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN). • Although there are primary anthropogenic and pyrogenic sources of acetone, the dominant sources are thought to be from direct biogenic emissions and photochemical production. **O**Recent work suggests that photochemical degradation from monoterpenes may also represent a significant contribution to global acetone production^[1]. **O**We evaluate the importance of monoterpene degradation to global acetone yield derived from monoterpenes for a range of case studies in GEOS-Chem. **O**When simulating an upper bound on yields, the model-to-measurement comparison improves for North America at both the surface and in the upper troposphere. **O**The inclusion of acetone production from monoterpene degradation also improves the ability of the model to reproduce observations of acetone in East Asian outflow. **O** In general the addition of monoterpenes degrades the model comparison for the tropical Southern Hemisphere.

species delineated by Guenther et al. (2012)^[3].

species-specific chamber studies.

Monoterpene Species	Fischer et al. (2002)	Mean	Min	Max	Lower Bound	
α-Pinene	0.116 ^[2]	0.11 ^[4]	0.02 ^[5]	0.20 ^[8]	Min*(1/3)*	
β-Pinene	0.116 ^[2]	0.09 ^[5]	0.009 ^[5]	0.15 ^[9]	Min*(1/3)*	
Limonene	0.116 ^[2]	0.004 ^[6]	0.004 ^[6]	0.20*	Min*(1/3)*	
Δ3-Carene	0.116 ^[2]	0.14 ^[4]	0.09 ^[6]	0.18 ^[5]	Min*(1/3)*	
Myrcene	0.116 ^[2]	0.37 ^[4]	0.22 ^[6]	0.51 ^[4]	Min*(1/3)*	
Sabinene	0.116 ^[2]	0.20 ^[4]	0.16 ^[4]	0.30 ^[10]	Min*(1/3)⁺	
Trans-β-Ocimene	0.116 ^[2]	0.19 ^[4]	0.18 ^[7]	0.20 ^[7]	Min*(1/3)*	
Other Monoterpenes	0.116 ^[2]	0.11*	0.02*	0.50 ^{[4]°}	Min*(1/3)*	



Figure 1. MEGAN global annual monoterpene emissions (Tg) and relative percent contribution

Makoto M. Kelp¹, Jared F. Brewer², Christoph A. Keller³, and Emily V. Fischer² Reed College— Dept. of Chemistry¹, Portland, Oregon Colorado State University— Dept. of Atmospheric Science², Fort Collins, Colorado Harvard University— School of Engineering and Applied Science³, Cambridge, Massachusetts Correspondence: mkelp@reed.edu

Summary





Conclusions

OAcetone concentrations display a strong seasonal cycle. **O** The upper bound case, which incorporates the inherent uncertainty in MEGAN emissions, reveals a much larger yearly acetone source from the degradation of monoterpenes (587 % increase) compared to the Fischer et al. (2012) emissions.

OAs a result of this dramatic biogenic increase, model-tomeasurement comparisons improves for the boundary layer (0 - 2 km) and the upper troposphere (6 - 10 km) over North America.

OModel-to-measurement improvements occur over East and South Asia in the boundary layer (0 - 2 km).

O The observational data do not support increased acetone emissions in the tropical Southern Hemisphere.

Future Work

O Explore the causes of the underprediction in the model. **O**Analyze additional aircraft and satellite observations for model-to-measurement comparisons.

OInvestigate if the recent boom of natural gas production significantly affects model simulations.

O Explore the effects of SOA chemistry on monoterpenederived acetone yield as MEGAN only deals with emissions and not chemical mechanisms.

References

[1] Khan et al. (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.056 [2] Fischer et al. (2012), doi: 10.1029/2011GL050086 [3] Guenther et al. (2012), doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 [4] Reissell et al. (1999), doi: 10.1029/1999JD900198 [5] Orland et al. (2000), doi: 10.1029/2000JD900005 [6] Lee et al. (2006), doi: 10.1029/2006JD007050 [7] Reisssell et al. (2002), doi: 10.1029/2001JD001234 [8] Vinckier et al. (1998), wos: 000073110700017 [9] Wisthaler et al. (2001), doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00385-5 [10] Carrasco et al. (2006), doi: 10.1039/b604489a

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Emily V. Fischer and Jared F. Brewer for their guidance and mentorship. This work has been supported by the National Science Foundation Research Experiences for Undergraduates Site in Climate Science at Colorado State University under the cooperative agreement No. AGS-1461270.